#5 10/23/03 Moun

PE DOCKET NO: 212404US2

OCT 1 7 2003

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE

N RE APPLICATION OF

TAKUYA KUROKI, ET AL. : EXAMINER: JOSEPH H. NGUYEN

SERIAL NO: 09/921,567

FILED: AUGUST 6, 2001 : GROUP ART UNIT: 2815

FOR: DISPLAY DEVICE,

MANUFACTURING METHOD THEREOF

AND IMAGE TERMINAL UNIT

EMPLOYING THE SAME

RECEIVED

OCT 20 2003

FECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

RESTRICTION AND ELECTION OF SPECIES

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22313

SIR:

In response to the Restriction Requirement in the Official Action mailed September 17, 2003, Applicants elect Group I, Claims 1-21 and 24-28 for further examination on the merits in the present application.

Further, in response to the Election of Species requirement, Applicants elect embodiment 1 and identify Claims 1-21 as readable on the elected species.

Applicants respectfully traverse the election requirement for the following reason.

MPEP § 803 states:

MPEP § 803

... If the search and examination of an entire application can be made without serious burden, the Examiner must examine it on the merits, even though it includes claims to distinct or independent inventions.

The claims of the present invention would appear to be part of an overlapping search area.

Application No. 09/921,567.

Reply to Restriction/Election requirement of September 17, 2003

Accordingly, Applicants respectfully traverse the outstanding Election requirement on the grounds that a search and examination of the entire application would not place a *serious* burden on the Examiner.

Accordingly, an action on the merits is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.

Customer Number 22850

Tel: (703) 413-3000 Fax: (703) 413 -2220 (OSMMN 08/03)

David A. Bilodeau

Gregory J. Maier

Attorney of Record

Registration No. 42,325

Registration No. 25,599

GJM/DAB/STD/mac I:\attm\Std\21's\212404US\212404US.ELEC-REST RESPONSE.DOC